

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

*Mod*

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/305,178 05/04/99 RIBADEAU-DUMAS

G 6-1032-035

IM22/0507

EXAMINER

HENDERSON & STURM LLP  
206 SIXTH AVE  
1213 MIDLAND BLDG  
DES MOINES IA 50309-4076

DUBOTS, P

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1761

DATE MAILED:

05/07/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 09/305,178	Applicant(s) Ribadeau-dumas et al
	Examiner Curtis E. Sherrer	Group Art Unit 1761

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Curtis E. Sherrer

(3) William H. Wright

(2) Philip DuBois

(4) Didier Boulinguez, Arnaud Heysen

Date of Interview May 4, 2001

Type: a)  Telephonic b)  Video Conference  
c)  Personal [copy is given to 1)  Applicant 2)  Applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e)  No. If yes, brief description:

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: Claim 25, all claims in general

Identification of prior art discussed:

Yatka et al

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Agreement with respect to the claims f)  was reached. g)  was not reached. h)  N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicants will consider amending the preamble to recite "a boiled sugar composition comprising" and amending the body of the claim to recite that the candy is stabilized against humidity as disclosed on page 9, line 22 to page 10, line 8. Will consider presenting arguments that the Yatka patent does not make obvious such a composition.

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i)  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



CURTIS E. SHERRER  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 1761

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.